

THE FUTURIST

ISSUE 04

DEC 4, 2019

WHO'S THE BIGGER FEMINIST,
WARREN OR YANG?
SIZE THEM UP.



TAKE ME!
I'M FREE

HE SAID, SHE SAID
RECAPITULATE THE
NOVEMBER DEBATE.

YANG GANG
GIFT GUIDE
AWESOME MERCH FOR
AWESOME PEOPLE.

THIS ISSUE

CONTENTS

4 POLICY PERSPECTIVE

WHO'S THE BIGGER FEMINIST, YANG OR WARREN?

With four women currently competing in the 2020 Democratic Primary elections, the feminist agenda has come to the forefront of policy proposals among candidates. Elizabeth Warren has gotten ahead with double-digit polling numbers—how do her plans for the women of America compare with Andrew Yang's? **Erik Williamson** discusses where each candidate stands.

A COMPARISON OF POLICIES

Still not sure what Yang and Warren are planning for women when they reach the Oval Office? **Adrienne Chum** lays out their policies side by side.

6 THE ELECTION

JUST TEN CANDIDATES, ALL LINED UP

November's debate, hosted by MSNBC and Washington Post, covered some new topics like paid family leave and Trump's impeachment proceedings. **@Balshumet** tells us all about it.

7 OF THE PEOPLE

YANG GANG GIFT GUIDE

Planning on Yanging the family during the holidays? Check out our top picks for fun and useful merchandise.

#MYYANGSTORY

This issue showcases **Jessica Kim**'s journey from being "Yang curious" to a member of the Yang Gang.

8 RESOURCES

YANG'S CLOSING STATEMENT

At the November debate, Andrew's statement resonated with families all over America. If you missed it, read it here.

LONG-FORM VIDEO INTERVIEWS

New long-form interviews have been released on YouTube. Listen to Andrew go in depth about why Value Added Tax works better than a Wealth Tax, what his plans are for helping the African-American community, and why the Freedom Dividend is about everything but the money.

ANDREW IN IOWA

Bus Tour Kickoff and Rally

Tue Dec 10, 3 pm CST: 400 Finkbine Drive, Des Moines, IA

Grinnell, IA Office Opening

Tue Dec 10, 5 pm CST: 820 4th Avenue, Grinnell, IA

Burlington, IA Office Opening

Tue Dec 10, 6 pm CST: 320 N 4th Street, Burlington, IA

Beers with Andrew Yang!

Tue Dec 10, 8:30 pm CST: 306 Washington Street, Burlington, IA

Veterans Appreciation Breakfast

Wed Dec 11, 9 am CST: 702 W 35th Street, Davenport, IA

Bowling with Andrew Yang

Wed Dec 11, 12 pm CST: 2902 E Kimberly Road, Davenport, IA

Meet-and-Greet

Thu Dec 12, 10:30 am CST: 219 Main Street, Ames, IA

Dubuque, IA Office Opening & Food Drive

Fri Dec 13, 7:30 pm CST: 633 Main Street, Dubuque, IA

"50 Days Left" Rally

Sat Dec 14, 6 pm CST: Iowa City, IA

Andrew Yang will be present for this event.



To sign up for these events or browse other Yang Gang meetups, visit mobilize.us/yang2020.

TECH TIPS

Wondering what those funky-looking squares do?

They're called QR Codes! They're a little like barcodes, but they contain more information and you can scan them with your smartphone in three easy steps.

1. Open the camera app on your smartphone.
2. Point the camera at the QR Code.
3. When a link pops up on your camera, tap on the link and you'll open up the website.



Give it a try here:

This code should take you to yang2020.com.

THE FINE PRINT

The Futurist and its contributors are not endorsed by, affiliated with, authorized, or sponsored by the Andrew Yang campaign. Any logos, company names, and products we use or mention in the publication are registered trademarks of their original owners, and do not imply association with the trademark holders or their products.

We're all just passionate volunteers with a cause. While we strive to provide the most accurate information possible, we also encourage you to do a bit of your own research as well. We just want to help Make America Think Harder.

NOTE FROM THE EDITOR

Since the November debate, three presidential candidates have dropped out of the race: Gov. Steve Bullock, Rep. Joe Sestak, and Sen. Kamala Harris. Meanwhile, Andrew Yang raised \$750,000 in 24 hours and opened another office in New Hampshire. The Yang Gang also spent some time on December 1 contributing directly to their local communities as part of a movement they called #PledgeForHumanity.

As the race to the 2020 Democratic Primaries draws ever closer, we at *The Futurist* dig deeper into Yang's policies to examine what makes him stand out from the crowd. This issue focuses on how his policies help women. We've compared his platform with that of Elizabeth Warren, the female candidate with the highest polling numbers.

We've also included a section on fun gift ideas for the holidays, for your loved ones or for yourself. And if you're still looking to learn more about Andrew Yang and his vision, you can always pick up a copy of his book at your local bookstore.

As always, we invite you to submit questions about his policy proposals and ideas. We've opened up a new line of communication, so feel free to text us or leave a voicemail.

Humanity First,

Adrienne, an Asian girl who likes math

WHO ARE WE?

Editor Adrienne Chum @chumwrotehat
Writer Erik Williamson @ERWilliamson88
Writer @Balshumet
Layout, Graphics Adrienne Chum
Cover Image @ruzhxng
Operations William Sheng @ewiper
Distribution @HumanityForYang

WHERE ARE WE?

Web futuristmagazine.org
Voicemail, Texting (858) 255-0783
Twitter @FuturistMag
Email contact@futuristmagazine.org
Official Campaign yang2020.com

Interested in joining our cause? Email or Tweet us!

GETTING INVOLVED

Are you interested in joining the Yang Gang?

Here's how you can help.



Register to Vote

If you're not already registered to vote, this is the time to do it. Some states require voters to be registered to the Democratic Party to vote in the Democratic Primary Election, so you may need to switch your party first.



Sign up to volunteer for Yang's campaign

Want to get more hands-on involvement? Head over to the campaign's volunteer registration page and get on their list.



Join the phone and text banking team

Talk to potential voters around the nation with other members of the Yang Gang. All you need is a computer with earphones and a microphone. The staff will help you get started.



Connect with your local gang

Find other gang members and hang out! Get involved with Yang-related events happening in your area.



Donate to the campaign

Andrew's campaign doesn't take corporate money, and they run on a tight, grassroots-funded budget. You can help by buying merchandise or donating directly to the campaign.



Spread the word

Print out flyers, cards, and posters to pass out or leave in public areas. Talk to the people around you about Yang's policies and their effects on your community. And of course, print some copies of *The Futurist* for others to read!

POLICY PERSPECTIVE

WHO'S THE BIGGER FEMINIST, WARREN OR YANG?



TWITTER.COM/KATIEJOYUSSERY

Women's rights are an important aspect of this current election cycle. As a woman running for president, Elizabeth Warren boasts strong female support, but Andrew Yang's policies for women seem to be more promising. **Erik Williamson** explores how both candidates address these issues.

Presidential candidate Andrew Yang showed he could contend with fellow candidate Elizabeth Warren on the issue of automation. But can Yang compete with Warren on women's rights?

Yang has stated multiple times that he agrees with much of Warren's sensibilities, and there is no reason to believe that his presidency would interfere with the work she has already accomplished. Additionally Warren achieved her goals as a senator, not as president, which means she can continue to make progress on women's rights, perhaps moreso as a senator than as president given she would have to diversify her priorities if she actually were to defeat Donald Trump.

Despite not having to compete with Warren on women's issues, the merits of his own policy hold greater benefits for women in general.

During the October debate, Andrew Yang pitted his plan for UBI against Warren's Social Security plan. Yang offered

to provide financial relief in the form of the \$1000 Freedom Dividend as an immediate stimulus to all citizens over the age of 18, while Warren's plan offered an extra \$200 to Social Security for retirees.

Given that many women are unable to work because of their duties as caregivers for their children or that they may be struggling single mothers, a greater sum of money in the present would benefit women more than a substantially lesser sum decades down the line. It also does not offer much security for women who are not in the workforce because of domestic obligations. Warren's plan would delay aid to caregivers until retirement age, and would offer 20% of what would be provided with UBI.

It is also worth considering that Warren's Social Security provision would provide no relief for women stuck in abusive relationships who are financially dependent on their abusers. Many victimized women might otherwise use the Dividend as a safety net and as a means to get out of bad situations, when they would otherwise have very few options. The Dividend would also provide money for school supplies, clothing, food, and various other necessities for single mothers who might be otherwise struggling.

Elizabeth Warren can also help

implement her Social Security plan regardless of whether or not she is president, whereas her Social Security provision by itself seems less than adequate in the face of Andrew Yang's. According to Yang, the Freedom Dividend will stack on top of Social Security, providing five times the money to the women who would benefit from Warren's provision. The Dividend would also stack with other non-cash welfare benefits.

As senator, Warren has also introduced a bill known as the "Credit for Caring Act," which offers a \$3000 tax credit to caregivers who incur expenses greater than \$2000 a month. Though this also addresses caregivers, it comes with various stipulations that bar many from benefiting, and would provide \$9000 less than the Dividend would on a yearly basis.


While it is worth noting that Warren has recently stated she would be "open to the idea of UBI," such a statement is in stark contrast to her earlier dismissive attitude towards UBI and automation which is grounds for skepticism.

Yang and Warren both do address childcare support and seem to have similar views on making child care and education more accessible. Warren's plan is more in depth, but Yang's plan follows her on the same principles, and as president he could work with her to achieve this plan.

Warren and Yang have similar ideas in addressing the gender pay gap in that they would both only hire companies with a track record of equal pay. However, Yang has also said he would work with states to implement pilot studies to see whether policies affect the pay gap and to also put pay disclosure laws into play. Yang has also been very vocal about giving both women and men paid family leave while Warren has not made as much of an effort to address the issue: Yang has a specific plan to provide Americans time off for new parents, while Warren has none.

Overall this paints a surprising and stark contrast on which candidate is adequately addressing women's issues.

When comparing Warren's and Yang's plans, it seems that Yang provides objectively greater quantitative gains for women in almost all aspects in comparison to Warren's.



For more of Andrew Yang's policy proposals and ideas, scan the QR Code or visit yang2020.com/policies.

A COMPARISON OF POLICIES

Policies	Andrew Yang	Elizabeth Warren
Financial empowerment	<p>Freedom Dividend Gives adult citizens \$1000 a month (\$12,000 a year) untaxed, no strings attached. Offers immediate financial independence to victims of abusive relationships, empowering them to leave their abusers. Allows families to make reproductive decisions without focusing solely on financial restrictions. Creates a personal financial safety net for people to leave low-paying jobs or employers with poor working conditions. Provides capital to empower entrepreneurial women to start their own businesses while ensuring that they have financial security.</p>	<p>Expanding Social Security Increases Social Security benefits by \$200 a month (\$2400 a year) for all recipients. Increases benefits for caregivers by crediting the equivalent of the monthly average of that year's median annual wage for every month of care. Caregivers must provide 80 hours a month of unpaid care to dependents (children under age 6, people with disabilities, elderly relatives) to receive these benefits. Credits can be claimed within five years of work. Caregivers can receive unlimited credits. Increases benefits for widows by providing 75% of combined household benefits, 100% of deceased spouse's benefits, or 100% of own worker benefits, whichever is highest. Widows with disabilities may receive full benefits without reaching age threshold.</p>
Stance on Universal Basic Income	<p>Freedom Dividend UBI is one of Yang's flagship policies.</p>	<p>Warren has only recently considered UBI as a potential policy, after having been dismissive of it.</p>
Child care support	<p>Increase Assistance for Single Parents Provides tax breaks for child care services Creates networks of single parents to encourage the formation of support systems that allow them to work with each other to manage child care and other parenting responsibilities. Invests in communal housing for single parents to facilitate resource pooling and responsibility sharing. Early Childhood Education for All Directs Department of Education to create a public pre-kindergarten system for 3- and 4-year-old children. Forgives loans of education majors who volunteer at schools with pre-K education.</p>	<p>Universal Child Care Creates networks of local child care centers, preschools, and in-home child care options. Pays child care workers and preschool teachers at rates equivalent to public school teacher salaries. Caps child care tuition to 7% of household income, with free tuition for families making less than 200% of the federal poverty line.</p>
Paid family leave	<p>Paid Family Leave Requires employers to offer 9 months or more of paid family leave, distributed between parents, or 6 months for a single parent.</p>	<p>Warren has no specific policy that addresses the need for a mandate for paid family leave.</p>
Addressing the gender and race pay gap	<p>Fight for Equal Pay Pays federal employees the same salary for the same job. Rejects bids from contractors and companies that do not disclose salary information and do not provide fair pay for all employees. Works with states to find equitable hiring solutions by implementing pilot studies and pay disclosure laws.</p>	<p>Valuing the Work of Women of Color Requires federal contractors to pay a minimum wage of \$15 per hour plus benefits to employees. Rejects bids from contractors and companies that do not disclose salary information and do not provide fair pay for all employees. Bans forced arbitration and non-compete clauses for companies that bid on federal contracts. Bans bidding contractors from requesting information on criminal history and past salaries from applicants.</p>
Reproductive rights	Pro-Choice.	Pro-Choice.

Sources: yang2020.com/policies; elizabethwarren.com/plans

THE ELECTION

JUST TEN CANDIDATES, ALL LINED UP

As primary season draws ever closer, the requirements for the Democratic Debates increase. Only ten Democratic nominee hopefuls took the stage in Atlanta to sell the American people on their visions of the future. **@Balshumet** gives insight into the night, with a particular focus on Andrew Yang.

On November 20, ten democratic hopefuls crowded onto the stage studio for a debate at Atlanta, Georgia's Tyler Perry. Missing were three candidates from the last outing, with one—Beto O'Rourke—having dropped out of the race entirely. As the first all-female moderated debate began, opening statements were skipped in favor of jumping right into the two-hour affair.

The first subject of the debate was one that dominated the headlines: President Donald Trump's potential impeachment. The sitting senators on stage were asked if they would try to convince their Republican colleagues to vote for impeachment, and how they would get it done. The question aimed to quiz the candidates with government experience on their ability to bridge gaps and work across the aisle. Sen. Elizabeth Warren, whom the question was aimed towards, answered in the affirmative and even chastised her Republican colleagues, saying "they should have read the Mueller report." Warren believed that the report contained ample evidence of President Trump's misdeeds. Sen. Bernie Sanders cautioned the field on focusing their entire campaigns on Trump, noting that the impeachment proceeding mattered, but not to the point of ignoring the needs of the working class Americans who were their constituents.

Warren's wealth tax, and the Medicare for All plan she intends to fund with it, came under fire once again. This time, the habit of Warren, Sanders and the farther left wing of the Democratic Party of suggesting higher taxes came under attack by Sen. Cory Booker. He spoke on the desire he had seen among the black community to engage in entrepreneurship, and that the Democratic Party, especially the people represented by Sanders and Warren, seemed to be less focused on fostering small business and more focused on punishing the wealthy. Warren attempted to bring the conversation back to what her wealth tax intended to fund, but Booker's objections were to the methods, and not the goals, and so her refutation fell a little flat.

At this point the two senators engaged in a heated back-and-forth that demonstrated the difference between the moderate and radical sides of the Democratic Party. While more left-leaning liberals preferred solutions involving wealth redistribution, the more moderate branches of the party, represented by former Vice President Joe Biden, Sen. Amy Klobuchar and others advocate for either a "return to normal," the status quo before President Trump, or milder reforms of existing structures.

More than thirty minutes into the two-hour debate, Andrew Yang was asked his first question: How he, as an outsider without political experience, would handle terrorism or a major disaster. Yang highlighted the government's current inability to counteract modern terrorism, bringing up AI and technology as one of "the true threats of tomorrow." He also pointed out that the United States was "24 years behind on technology" and "losing the AI race to China," and that he would focus on these modern threats.

The moderators moved on to discussing paid parental leave, asking how Yang would ease the financial burden of new parents. Yang noted that we were one of two countries without paid maternity leave, commenting "we need to get off this list" and explaining how his Freedom Dividend would help. This is unfortunate because he has a robust series of policies

surrounding paid leave, including nine months leave for couples after the birth or adoption of a new child. It would have been a good use of time for him to highlight these proposals and dismiss concerns about being a "one-trick pony" candidate.

The moderators followed up by asking Sens. Kamala Harris and Klobuchar about their approaches to paid parental leave. They reiterated the field's commitment to universal Pre-K and paid maternity leave, and discussed their contrasting plans.

The conversation then moved on through the trade war and climate change, before settling into foreign policy. Harris took a moment tout her foreign policy experience, proclaiming that "Trump got punked" to the amused laughter of the audience, before launching into an explanation of what she would do differently as president.

Sanders was then asked if he was "willing to cut a deal with the Taliban to end the war in Afghanistan, even if it collapsed the Afghan government?" He answered in the affirmative, reiterating his original votes against the war in Iraq and Afghanistan in contrast to Biden, and extolling the virtues of rethinking the War on Terror. Building onto Yang's earlier statement, Sanders' point was that the way we fight terrorism now is outdated and a change will be needed to keep us competitive with our potential enemies.

Yang did get a flurry of laughter late in the debate in his answer about Putin. When asked what he'd say on his first call with Putin, he replied "I'm sorry I beat your guy." He further asserted that "The days of meddling in American elections are over" and that American democracy was not to be trifled with.

As the debates wound into their final half hour, Mayor Pete Buttigieg and Sen. Tulsi Gabbard engaged in verbal fisticuffs over comments the South Bend mayor made about cooperation with Mexico to stymie drug cartels. This turned into a discussion on racial insensitivity, and in an attempt to empathize with black voters, with whom he currently polls 0%, he commented "while I do not have the experience of ever having been discriminated against because of the color of my skin, I do have the experience of sometimes feeling like a stranger in my own country."

As the debates closed, we were treated to the overarching vision of the candidates still on stage. While several, including Yang and Booker, have yet to qualify for the December debates and so their closing statements included calls to action to the polls, others were more focused on the road ahead. There is one exception to be made for Biden's closing statement, which was oddly backwards looking and spent the majority of the time seemingly berating the listeners for not believing in America.

In the near fortnight that has passed since the fifth debates, a change in the polls has become more clear. Harris continues to fade, and in her place, Buttigieg has surged in both early voting states and national polls. All is not rosy in mayor town however, as his lack of authenticity with the black community and history of racially insensitive comments relating to that very same community have brought him under fire. As pundits are fond of saying, there is no path to the Democratic nomination without the black community, and unless Buttigieg finds a way to appeal to them and overcome or properly address his past statements, the surge is likely to stall out before it gets started.

As for the soothsayer of the fourth industrial revolution, Yang is still one poll short of attending the December debates, but has finally curried favor with some of the larger media outlets. Despite an open feud with MSNBC over the their so-called "media blackout", the general punditry was impressed with this latest showing. With coffers flushed with cash from the previous quarter's funding, and increased short-term funding goals in the millions being hit regularly, Yang's candidacy is far from over.

OF THE PEOPLE

YANG GANG GIFT GUIDE

Looking for gifts for friends, or for yourself? We've chosen a few of our favorite Yang merch goodies you can buy from the campaign, plus a couple of awesome fan-made goodies as well. Order early to get them in time for the holidays!



Cozy winter apparel:
MATH Beanie
\$30; shop.yang2020.com
The Scarf (60" x 6.5")
\$50; shop.yang2020.com



Adrienne's pick:
Throwback 1992 Andrew Yang T-Shirt
\$35, shop.yang2020.com




Simon's pick:
Yanggang Pink Hat Vaporwave
\$25 (\$50 with campaign donation);
yanggangpinkhat.com



William's pick:
MATH 1.75" Lapel Pin
\$20; shop.yang2020.com



For the utilitarian:
MATH Tote Bag (16"x16")
\$20; yangtrain.co



For the bookworms:
The War on Normal People: The Truth About America's Disappearing Jobs and Why Universal Basic Income Is Our Future (two covers available)
Hardcover \$16.45, Paperback \$16.99, Kindle \$16.99, Audiobook \$29.65 (narr. by Andrew Yang);
smile.amazon.com

#MYYANGSTORY

#MyYangStory is a popular Twitter hashtag that aggregates the experiences of how Yang supporters decided to join the Yang Gang. We're bringing these experiences to you. This issue's story is by **Jessica Kim**, a PhD student of social welfare, therapist, mother of three, and wife of a veteran.

I got **Yanged** back in February when I heard Andrew on NPR. Not in my lifetime did I think an Asian guy would ever run for president. Please don't embarrass us, I worried. With skepticism I studied his website and listened to the now proverbial Joe Rogan podcast. I was hooked.

It felt like I had woken up from a long slumber of political disengagement. In my view, politicians were like car salesmen. How could it be that this random guy made so much sense? And why wasn't anyone else talking about automation? Wait, we could actually pay for universal basic income? Here was an outsider who possessed an uncanny ability to synthesize large amounts of compelling research and statistics and propose a series of cohesive and solution focused policies that could actually bring our country together.

Andrew Yang, some Asian guy nobody knew, had a vision for the country I didn't think could be possible; inspiring and rational are not typically used to describe the same vision. American Scorecard, Democracy Dollars, and Freedom Dividend. I was overcome with excitement and hope. The rest is history. In a nutshell, here are three reasons why I trust Yang.

1. Yang's motivations are legit. He's not part of the establishment. That means his incentives don't come from political clout, money, or ideology. He's a pragmatist who wants to give Americans agency over their own lives. Effectively, this means Yang is open to new ideas if they're backed by reason. And for better or worse, he will not just tell us what we want to hear because it sounds good. Americans who are paying close attention can tell the difference between pandering and grounded solutions.
2. Yang's pulse on the 21st century is aligned with where we need to focus. He gets the technologically esoteric issues of our transforming economy that are insidiously infecting our country under the guise of politically divisive narratives. Who else is talking about our data rights, thorium nuclear reactors, or artificial intelligence? Nobody—because they're too distracted by the latest Trump tweet. I want a leader in the White House who is laser focused on the real threats on the ground, not on the sexy clickbait du jour.
3. Yang's authenticity is refreshing. He's not afraid to be himself and doesn't project a façade of polished cadence. Many found that appealing about Trump only to later find he happens to also be egomaniacal, erratic, and vindictive. The more I hear Yang, the more I like him and his imperfections—he's kind, goofy, humble. It's comforting to think we will know exactly who we've elected when Yang is POTUS in 2021.

Do you trust the candidate you support? No matter what happens, I'll always measure every politician against the ideals that Yang has shown us we can expect from our leaders. Andrew Yang has restored my hope in humanity and democracy. Once you see the truth, you can't unsee it. I'm Yang Gang for life.

Jessica Kim, 42, New Jersey

RESOURCES

YANG'S CLOSING STATEMENT

Andrew Yang's closing statement at the latest Democratic Debate resonated with many viewers on November 20. Here's what he said that night.

I'm here with my wife Evelyn, tonight. We have two young boys, Christopher and Damian. [...] If you're a parent, you've had this thought. Maybe you've been afraid to express it, and it is this, our kids are not all right. They're not all right because we're leaving them a future that is far darker than the lives that we have led as their parents. We are going through the greatest economic transformation in our country's history, the fourth industrial revolution, and it is pushing more and more of our people to the side. We talk as if Donald Trump is a cause of all of our problems. He is not. He is a symptom and we need to cure the disease.

Now, my first move was not to run for president of the United States because I am not insane. My first move was to go to D.C., talk to our leaders and say, "Technology is ripping us apart. Immigrants are being scapegoated, our kids are being left behind and the American dream that my parents came here to find is dying before our eyes." The people in Washington D.C. had nothing for this. They don't want to touch it. They don't want to talk about an issue they don't think they have a solution for. I'm not running for president because I fantasized about being president.

I'm running for president because like many of you here in this room tonight, I'm a parent and a patriot and I have seen the future that we're leaving for our kids. It is not something I'm willing to accept. We need to create a new way forward for our people. If you want to join us in rewriting the rules of the 21st century economy, go to Yang2020.com, and make it so that we can look our kids in the eyes and say to them and believe it, "Your country loves you, your country values you and you will be all right."



LONG-FORM VIDEO INTERVIEWS (NEW)



AARP Forum

youtube.com/watch?v=NXQ3DEFIleg

July 19, 2019

25 minutes



NEW: National Public Radio

youtube.com/watch?v=f2Wr7IDI-Hg

October 23, 2019

1 hour



The Rubin Report with Dave Rubin

yang.video/rubin-report

June 7, 2019

2 hours



NEW: New Hampshire Public Radio

youtube.com/watch?v=YtKDgpMHnwc

November 7, 2019

1 hour



MSNBC Climate Forum with Ali Velshi

youtube.com/watch?v=D7hiD-TLZDE

September 19, 2019

1 hour



NEW: Breakfast Club Power 105.1 FM

youtube.com/watch?v=FFW8ysOE_14

November 13, 2019

40 minutes



The Hill with Krystal Ball

youtube.com/watch?v=i0GTulhxZmM

September 22, 2019

30 minutes



NEW: Karen Hunter Show

youtube.com/watch?v=XAehF8ZdwIU

November 14, 2019

1 hour